## Electric Rail - An Overview



Arizona's Black Mesa \& Lake Powell Railroad, which ran coal trains 73 miles on the Najavo Nation (Kayenta mine to Navajo Generating Station near Page) with electric GE E60 50 kV 6,000 hp locomotives, from 1973 to 2019


## Rail Electrification

- Zero emissions at point of use
- Quieter than diesel locomotives
- Lower "fuel" (energy) cost, can be powered by renewable energy via the power grid
- Simpler locomotives, lower O\&M costs
- Established, proven technology
- Many main lines around the world are electrified and carry a proportionally higher level of traffic
- Regenerative braking can be fed back into overhead wire

Overhead Catenary Wire:

- Required for conventional electric locomotives to run
- Upfront capital cost
- Needs clearance of overhead obstructions, i.e. bridges
- Can face NIMBY opposition for aesthetic reasons



## Electric Passenger Trains

- Increased train speed and frequency due to better acceleration
- Passenger comfort (quieter, smoother ride, no smoke)
- Increased reliability (fewer train breakdowns)
- Lower equipment, O\&M costs means passenger railroads can invest more in frequent service

"Sparks Effect"- around the world, the documented increase in passenger ridership following electrification


## Electric Locomotives



- All-electric locomotives (powered via overhead catenary wire), have an energy efficiency of over 90\%
- Propulsion power can be more than double that of a diesel locomotive the same size:
- Due to greater power per unit, one electric freight locomotive can be substituted for two diesel ones


## Electric Locomotives



China Railways HXD1 series $12,900 \mathrm{hp}$ freight locomotive set, under 25 kV overhead catenary wire pulls 20,000 ton coal trains (Photo: https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:HXD10004.jpg )

## Electric Multiple Units

Electric Multiple Units (EMUs) distribute motor power traction along the entire length of the train.

This provides superior acceleration compared to electric locomotives hauling unpowered cars, similar to an all-wheel-drive car on a slick roadway.


EMUs outperform other passenger trains in every respect: speed, acceleration, passenger comfort, energy consumption, O\&M costs, reliability, procurement costs

## Over 30\% of the world's

 railroad track is electrified (electrified trackage is growing every year)$\left.\begin{array}{|l|r|l|}\hline \text { Country } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Miles } \\ \text { Electrified (approx.) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Percentage } \\ \text { Electrified }\end{array} \\ & & 470\end{array}\right]$

## Northeast US Electrification

Northeast Corridor (NEC)457 miles


## Amtrak

SEPTA Regional Rail280 miles (incl. 108 miles on NEC \& Keystone Corridor)


Metro North - 144 miles


Long Island Railroad159 miles


MARC Train- 77 miles (on NEC)


## Chicago

Metra Electric District 32 miles


South Shore Line 91 miles


## Denver RTD Commuter Rail



Over 54 miles of 25 kV of electric lines completed so far, connecting Downtown Denver to:

- A Line, to Airport ( $\mathbf{2 3 . 5}$ miles, opened in 2016)
- B Line, to northwestern suburbs ( 6.2 miles, opened in 2016)
- G Line, to western suburbs ( 11.9 miles, opened in 2019)
- $N$ Line, to northern suburbs (13 miles, opened in 2020)


## Caltrain

- The multi-faceted Caltrain Modernization project includes 51 routemiles of 25 kV electrification between San Francisco and San Jose, at a OCS infrastructure cost of over \$20 million/route-mile
- Zero-emissions Stadler EMU trains will replace 75\% of Caltrain's existing diesel locomotive fleet

- First Stadler EMUs trains arrived in the Bay Area in summer 2022, service planned to start in fall 2024
- Total project cost, of which electrification is largest component, is $\$ 2.44$ billion, or $\$ 47 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{mile}$


## Toronto region GO Rail

## GO Rail Electrification

- 163 route miles (426 track miles)
- Construction expected to start 2023, with partial implementation by 2026, and full completion in 2032
- Cost ~ US\$8 million per route-mile
- Trains up 30\% faster, and expected up to $60 \%$ cheaper per train-mile to



## Catenary- Diesel Hybrid Electric "Dual Mode" Locomotive



Bombardier ALP-45
(Photo: Robert Pisani, railcolor.net )

## Electric Freight Rail



Hector Rail intermodal freight train in Germany, pulled by Bombardier TRAXX electric locomotive (Photo: pxhere.com, Creative Commons CC0)

## Electrified Heavy Freight Rail

Electric iron-ore trains in South Africa routinely exceed 40,000 tons (w/ 375 cars)
(U.S. long-haul train max $\boldsymbol{\sim} \mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0}$ tons)

535-mile Sishen-Saldanha OREX line, South Africa: in continuous operation under 50 kV catenary since 1976 (Photo: Peter Ball )

## Electrified Heavy Freight Rail



## Long-Distance Electric Rail

## Trans-Siberian Railway has been fully electrified since 2002

 Nearly 75\% of freight ton-miles in Russia (all modes except pipeline) are carried by electric train, $\sim 10 \%$ by truck
## The Milwaukee Road was running electric long-

 distance freight and passenger trains on 663 miles of electrified track through the Cascades and Rocky Mountains, from 1914 to 1974Class EF-1 locomotive in Atherton, Montana 1950 (photo by Philip Johnson)


# Electric freight trains were once common in America 

Pacific Electric Railway all-electric local freight train in South LA, 1953 (Photo: Pacific Electric Railway Historical Society)


"EMD in the domain of GEs: The 10,000 hp GM10B stands out among the grimy Conrail E44S at Meadows, New Jersey, in 1977" (photo: William Rosenberg photo, David P. Oroszi Collection)

"When they did get to run, the EMD freight electric locomotives showed the designs were more than viable": 6,000 hp GM6C on Conrail, Feb. 11, 1979, at Middletown, Pennsylvania
(photo: Stephen J. Salamon, David P. Oroszi collection) From "Testing EMD electric freight locomotives", by Preston Cook, May 31, 2022, Trains.com:
https://www.trains.com/ctr/railroads/locomotives/testing-emd-electric-freight-locomotives/


Double-stack container train under overhead contact system (OCS) in Philadelphia area (Class 1 railroads operate diesel powered freight trains under wires on this corridor) 24

## Yes, you can have electric double-stacked container trains



Electric train carrying double-stack containers under $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{~ k V}$ wire in India

## Overhead Catenary (or Contact) System (OCS)International Cost Comparison

## International Benchmark - Banedenmark

 \$2.1 million / single-track-mile (2019 \$, including all power infrastructure)Railway Industry Association

Programme 2014-2026 Electrify 1362 stk 12Bn DKK c£1m/stk

- 13 Traction power substations
- 42 Auto transformer stations
- Enabling works
- Non-electrified lines are options
- Rolled out as a joint programme
- Functional requirements spec
- Supplier to have TSI approved system
- Suppliers to be responsible for innovation
- Continuous work for 10 years
- One approval process



## Overhead Catenary (or Contact) System (OCS)International Cost Comparison

## International Benchmark 2017 - Germany $\$ 800,000$ / single-track-mile (2019 \$, overhead catenary only)

Railway Industry Association
The voice of the UK rail supply community

- Electrification of the Schönbuchbahn branch line near Stuttgart
- The $€ 103 \mathrm{~m}$ route upgrade project includes removing levelcrossings, upgrading the route to 100 kmph , double tracking on parts of the route, installing new depots, electrifying the route and providing new electric trains
- Electrification of 29.6 stk cost $€ 9.3 \mathrm{~m}(£ 8.11 \mathrm{~m})=€ 314 \mathrm{k} /$ stk and includes for foundations, structures, small parts steelwork and conductors.

Allowing 25\% for client project management and design costs = €392k/ stk or c£345k/ stk

- The following should be taken into consideration:
- No Grid or additional feeding connections were needed
- There was no substantial route clearance required - such as bridge reconstruction, track lowering, parapet raising etc.
There was no Schedule 4 costs (possession access charges to train operating companies).
- The overhead line system used is 15 kV , which is standard in


| Lesson |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Realistic Programme | Yes |
| Proven Technology | Yes |
| Proven Methodology | Yes |
| Collaboration | Yes |
| Efficient Cost | Yes |
| Low Complexity | Yes |
| Good Access | Yes |
| Rolling Programme | Yes |

Germany. There would be a slight cost increase (<10\%) for a UK 25 kV system say $£ 375 \mathrm{k} /$ stk.

## OCS wire under bridges



Several new technologies are allowing tighter clearances for electric wires going under bridges:

- Under-cable support structures
- Conductor bars
- Insulating covers/coatings (combined with surge arresters)
- Neutral sections


## All-electric 999, prototype battery-electric switcher locomotive



## Catenary-Battery Hybrid Electric Switcher Locomotive



Austrian Federal Railways ÖBB InnoShunt eHybrid prototype catenary-supercapacitor-battery switcher. Rebuild of Class 1063 electric locomotive by vehicle modernisation company TecSol

# All-electric locomotive operation has been required, \& hybrids used, in New York City since the 1920s 



GE "three-power boxcab", 1928 New York Central \#1525

Battery-catenary hybrid electric, 75-ton locomotives were introduced to the Utah Copper Company rail line in the late 1920s, capable of up to six hours of 'off wire' operating time.


## Alstom Coradia iLint hydrogen-powered train

- Alstom's intended target market in Europe for the iLint trains on lightly-used, non-electrified lines.
-Range reported to be ~300 miles
- Max. propulsion power: 628 kW
(compared to $\mathbf{2 5}$ MW for full-length U.S. freight train)



## Alstom Coradia iLint hydrogen-powered train

In 2022, the EVB regional railroad in Lower Saxony, Germany was the first in the world to introduce a fleet of hydrogen-powered trains

- Reliability problems
- Massive cost overruns
- Half of the promised range on a full tank of hydrogen
- A major cost factor was that (supply/demand/market speculation) the price of hydrogen skyrocketed just as these trains were introduced. In this case, the hydrogen was coming from Russian gas.
- Lower Saxony's public transportation authority recently announced that no more hydrogen trains will be pursued, and that the remainder of the diesel fleet will be replaced with electric trains that use batteries combined with overhead wires.
- Another state in Germany, Baden-Württemberg, has come to the same conclusion after an extensive study.


## Stadler hydrogen-powered FLIRT



Stadler's hydrogen-powered Flirt trainset, built for use in California's San Bernardino County Transportation Authority, is on display at InnoTrans in Berlin (Photo: Keith Fender Trains 9/21/22)

## "Zero Emissions" Rolling Stock Cost comparison-All-Electric, Battery and Hydrogen:

Compared to a typical new electrical multiple unit (EMU) passenger train...

- Battery trains are about 2 times more expensive than a standard EMU:
~ \$5 million per 'U.S.-length railcar’
[based on recent order of $€ \mathbf{1 0 0}$ million for $\mathbf{1 1}$ 'three-car' Alstom battery trains in Germany]
- Hydrogen trains are about 4 times more expensive than a standard EMU:
~\$11 million per 'U.S.-length railcar’
[based on recent order of $€ 500$ million for 27 'two-car' Alstom hydrogen trains in Germany]


## "Zero Emissions" Infrastructure Cost Comparison-All-Electric, Battery and Hydrogen:

- Battery and hydrogen trains also require costly infrastructure:
- Battery-electric charging stations that require multi-MW of power
- Hydrogen production plants, pipelines, massive storage tanks, and fueling stations (all have a lot of potential safety problems)
- A recent report from the state of Baden Wurttemberg in Germany concluded that they will no longer consider hydrogen for rail propulsion as it is more expensive than battery or hard wire electrification by as much as $80 \%$.
"The positives for hydrogen were: minor impacts upon introduction and during operation, and no changes required to the rail infrastructure.
But the negatives were: costly filling stations; low efficiency, high energy consumption and high cost; the possible need to increase the number of trains because the range would not be sufficient for a whole day of travel; limited availability of green hydrogen; and the need to continually resupply the hydrogen filling stations."


## Energy Efficiency

- A major part of the reduced operating cost of electric trains is the relatively low cost of electricity as a "fuel" for the amount of useful propulsion energy, and greater energy efficiency. The 'wire-to-wheel' electrical to mechanical propulsive energy efficiency of electric trains is typically greater than $95 \%$.
- This is three times greater than the overall (or "roundtrip") energy efficiency of dieselpowered trains.
- Battery trains are only about $80 \%$ as energy-efficient than all-electric trains using the overhead wire
- Hydrogen trains have the worst roundtrip energy efficiency:

It takes 3 to 4 times the amount of electricity to produce renewable hydrogen, which would have the same useful train-propulsive energy as powering a train directly with renewable electricity directly.
Electricity
from grid
2.9 kW

Efficiency


Figure 16 Typical overall efficiency of hydrogen trains

## Onboard Energy Storage Density

| By Volume |  | By Weight |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MJ/litre | Storage Volume <br> (x diesel) | MJ/kg | Storage Weight <br> (x diesel) |
| Diesel | 36 |  | 43 |  |
| Hydrogen (at 700 bar) | 4.8 | 7.5 | $71^{2}$ | 0.6 |
| Hydrogen (at 350 bar) ${ }^{1}$ | 2.9 | 12.4 | $71^{2}$ | 0.6 |
| Battery pack - current | 1.7 | 21.2 | 0.7 | 61.3 |
| Battery pack - 2035 | 2.6 | $13.8^{3}$ | $1.0^{3}$ | 42.9 |

${ }^{1}$ Does not take account of inefficient storage of cylindrical tanks
${ }^{2}$ This does not include weight of tank which would be of order of 750 kg
${ }^{3}$ Expert prediction by Advanced Propulsion Centre
Figure 3a - Comparative energy densities of diesel, hydrogen, and batteries

## Onboard Energy Storage Density

Electric trains are a future-proofed technology that is unique in offering potentially net-zero carbon high-powered transport because:

- The electricity they receive can be generated from any power source.
- Their power is limited only by the amount of energy they can collect from overhead line or third rail - in contrast self-powered traction is limited by the on-board power plant or battery size.
- They use electricity as it is needed and so do not have to store energy on board.
- The second law of thermodynamics states that whenever energy is converted from one state to another (e.g. heat to motion) useful energy is lost. Unlike other types of rail traction, this is not a problem for electric trains which collect electrical energy and feed into their electric motors without any energy conversion process.

No amount of research can change any of the above.

## Range

- A train or locomotive powered by a hydrogen tank taking up the same amount of space as a typical on-board diesel tank could go only $1 / 12^{\text {th }}$ the distance as a typical diesel-powered train
$\cdot .$. a battery-powered train less than $1 / 20^{\text {th }}$ the distance.
- In the case of a hydrogen passenger train using compressed gas, a sizeable amount of space is taken by high pressure tanks, although hydrogen fuel cells alone cannot produce enough variable power to power a train directly. So a large and heavy battery pack is also needed onboard, in addition to the hydrogen tanks and fuel cells.


### 7.6. Alternative Traction Options

A number of energy storage technologies have been proposed as alternatives to electrification - in particular, battery and hydrogen. A 2020 study concluded that the overall feasibility of these technologies compared to electrification was as follows.


Figure 4: Technical abilities of non-diesel traction technologies ${ }^{35}$

[^0]
## Conclusion

Evidence does not support the view that electrification is unnecessary, thanks to hydrogen and battery systems improving rapidly: hydrogen trains are inherently less efficient than electric trains, due to the physical properties of the gas. Expert opinion predicts that battery capability might double by 2035. Yet, whilst this might affect the hydrogen / battery traction mix required for decarbonisation, it is unlikely to change significantly the requirement for electrification.

The laws of nature make electrification a future-proofed technology that is a good investment, offering large passenger, freight, and operational benefits. Furthermore, railways cannot achieve net-zero carbon emissions without a large-scale electrification programme.

## Thank You




[^0]:    35 "Traction Decarbonisation Network Strategy - Interim Programme Business Case - Executive Summary"; Network Rail; July 2020; p4

